



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/218,060	12/21/1998	SLOVAK ONDREJ SUCH	777.162US1	3598

26389 7590 02/12/2004

CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC  
1420 FIFTH AVENUE  
SUITE 2800  
SEATTLE, WA 98101-2347

EXAMINER
----------

ANYA, CHARLES E

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2126

DATE MAILED: 02/12/2004

14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Applicant(s)

09/218,060

Applicant(s)

SUCH, SLOVAK ONDREJ

Examiner

Charles E Anya

Art Unit

2126

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 November 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. **Claims 1,3-5,7-9,11-14,16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 5,619,710 to Travis, jr. et al. in view of U.S. Pat. No. 6,557,165 B1 to Nagashima et al.**

3. As to claim 1, Travis teaches a dynamic object storage scheme for storing a plurality of objects ("...storage scheme..." Col. 13 Ln. 47 – 67, Col. 14 Ln. 1 – 45), a dynamic dispatch scheme for invoking an action that belongs to one of a plurality of categories ("...EDIT..." Col. 24 Ln. 40 – 58, "...set of messages 520..." Col. 10 Ln. 48 – 67, Col. 11 Ln. 1 – 5), the plurality of categories needing one object (Application Class 485 Col. 9 Ln. 35 – 40) and an object recognition scheme for providing a description of each object, the description allowing a determination of whether the object fits an application programming interface (ACAS Software Component 620 Col. 12 Ln. 7 – 43, Loader/Unloader Software Component 1010 Col. 16 Ln. 15 – 55, Col. 18 Ln. 33 – 67, Invoker Software Components 1236 and 1336/method resolution Col. 20 Ln. 16 – 43,

Step 1375 Col. 21 Ln. 25 – 30, Col. 22 Ln. 35 – 50, Col. 23 Ln. 50 – 67, Step 1560/Auxiliary software Components 1237 and 1337 Col. 24 Ln. 1 – 39).

The plurality of categories that includes needing no object is not explicitly taught, however this limitation is inherent because during the creation of objects in this system the constructor receives a message to create the object but does not need an object in order to complete the object creation.

Travis is silent with respect to the plurality of categories that include needing more than one object.

Nagashima teaches the plurality of categories that include needing more than one object (“...hierarchical structure...” Col. 52 Ln. 16 – 31). It would have been obvious to apply the teaching of Nagashima to the system of Travis. One would have been motivated to make such a modification in order to transfer data between objects (Col. 52 Ln. 16 – 19).

4. As to claim 3, Travis teaches the objects as described via the object recognition scheme to include a series of tokens whereby each of the token relates to an attribute of the object (Platform\_Type A, Platform\_Type B Col. 24 Ln. 59 – 67, DYNAMIC\_LOAD Col. 25 Ln. 47 – 62).

5. As to claim 4, Travis teaches the dynamic dispatch scheme as providing for execution of objects based on unpacked-into-messages events (Step 1598 Col. 26 Ln. 49 – 67, Col. 1 – 18).

6. As to claim 5, Travis teaches a dynamic object storage scheme for storing a plurality of objects (“...storage scheme...” Col. 13 Ln. 47 – 67, Col. 14 Ln. 1 – 45), a dynamic dispatch scheme for invoking an action that belongs to one of a plurality of categories (“...EDIT...” Col. 24 Ln. 40 – 58, “...set of messages 520...” Col. 10 Ln. 48 – 67, Col. 11 Ln. 1 – 5), the plurality of categories needing one object (Application Class 485 Col. 9 Ln. 35 – 40) and an object recognition scheme for providing a description of each object, the description allowing a determination of whether the object fits an application programming interface (ACAS Software Component 620 Col. 12 Ln. 7 – 43, Loader/Unloader Software Component 1010 Col. 16 Ln. 15 – 55, Col. 18 Ln. 33 – 67, Invoker Software Components 1236 and 1336/method resolution Col. 20 Ln. 16 – 43, Step 1375 Col. 21 Ln. 25 – 30, Col. 22 Ln. 35 – 50, Col. 23 Ln. 50 – 67, Step 1560/Auxiliary software Components 1237 and 1337 Col. 24 Ln. 1 – 39).

The plurality of categories that includes needing no object is not explicitly taught, however this limitation is inherent because during the creation of objects in this system the constructor receives a message to create the object but does not need an object in order to complete the object creation.

Travis is silent with respect to the plurality of categories that include needing more than one object.

Nagashima teaches the plurality of categories that include needing more than one object (“...hierarchical structure...” Col. 52 Ln. 16 – 31). It would have been obvious to apply the teaching of Nagashima to the system of Travis. One would have been

motivated to make such a modification in order to transfer data between objects (Col. 52 Ln. 16 – 19).

7. As to claim 7, Travis teaches the objects as described via the object recognition scheme to include a series of tokens whereby each of the token relates to an attribute of the object (Platform\_Type A, Platform\_Type B Col. 24 Ln. 59 – 67, DYNAMIC\_LOAD Col. 25 Ln. 47 – 62).

8. As to claims 8, Travis teaches the dynamic dispatch scheme as providing for execution of objects based on unpacked-into-messages events (Step 1598 Col. 26 Ln. 49 – 67, Col. 1 – 18).

9. As to claim 9, Travis teaches a Processor (CPU 100, CPU 200, CPU 300 Col. 5 Ln. 31 – 67), Computer-Readable Medium (Memory 150, Memory 250, Memory 350 Col. 5 Ln. 31 – 67, Col. 12 Ln. 1 – 67), a dynamic object storage scheme for storing a plurality of objects (“...storage scheme...” Col. 13 Ln. 47 – 67, Col. 14 Ln. 1 – 45), a dynamic dispatch scheme for invoking an action that belongs to one of a plurality of categories (“...EDIT...” Col. 24 Ln. 40 – 58, “...set of messages 520...” Col. 10 Ln. 48 – 67, Col. 11 Ln. 1 – 5), the plurality of categories needing one object (Application Class 485 Col. 9 Ln. 35 – 40) and an object recognition scheme for providing a description of each object, the description allowing a determination of whether the object fits an application programming interface (ACAS Software Component 620 Col. 12 Ln. 7 – 43,

Art Unit: 2126

Loader/Unloader Software Component 1010 Col. 16 Ln. 15 – 55, Col. 18 Ln. 33 – 67, Invoker Software Components 1236 and 1336/method resolution Col. 20 Ln. 16 – 43, Step 1375 Col. 21 Ln. 25 – 30, Col. 22 Ln. 35 – 50, Col. 23 Ln. 50 – 67, Step 1560/Auxiliary software Components 1237 and 1337 Col. 24 Ln. 1 – 39).

The plurality of categories that includes needing no object is not explicitly taught, however this limitation is inherent because during the creation of objects in this system the constructor receives a message to create the object but does not need an object in order to complete the object creation.

Travis is silent with respect to the plurality of categories that include needing more than one object.

10. As to claim 11, Travis teaches the objects as described via the object recognition scheme to include a series of tokens whereby each of the token relates to an attribute of the object (Platform\_Type A, Platform\_Type B Col. 24 Ln. 59 – 67, DYNAMIC\_LOAD Col. 25 Ln. 47 – 62).

11. As to claims 12, Travis teaches the dynamic dispatch scheme as providing for execution of objects based on unpacked-into-messages events (Step 1598 Col. 26 Ln. 49 – 67, Col. 1 – 18).

12. As to claims 13, Travis teaches the computer of claim 9, wherein the computer-readable medium is a memory (Memory 150, Memory 250, Memory 350 Col. 5 Ln. 31 – 67, Col. 12 Ln. 1 – 67).

13. As to claim 14, Travis teaches a dynamic object storage scheme for storing a plurality of objects (“...storage scheme...” Col. 13 Ln. 47 – 67, Col. 14 Ln. 1 – 45), a dynamic dispatch scheme for invoking an action that belongs to one of a plurality of categories (“...EDIT...” Col. 24 Ln. 40 – 58, “...set of messages 520...” Col. 10 Ln. 48 – 67, Col. 11 Ln. 1 – 5), the plurality of categories needing one object (Application Class 485 Col. 9 Ln. 35 – 40) and an object recognition scheme for providing a description of each object, the description allowing a determination of whether the object fits an application programming interface (ACAS Software Component 620 Col. 12 Ln. 7 – 43, Loader/Unloader Software Component 1010 Col. 16 Ln. 15 – 55, Col. 18 Ln. 33 – 67, Invoker Software Components 1236 and 1336/method resolution Col. 20 Ln. 16 – 43, Step 1375 Col. 21 Ln. 25 – 30, Col. 22 Ln. 35 – 50, Col. 23 Ln. 50 – 67, Step 1560/Auxiliary software Components 1237 and 1337 Col. 24 Ln. 1 – 39).

The plurality of categories that includes needing no object is not explicitly taught, however this limitation is inherent because during the creation of objects in this system the constructor receives a message to create the object but does not need an object in order to complete the object creation.

Travis is silent with respect to the plurality of categories that include needing more than one object.



Art Unit: 2126

Nagashima teaches the plurality of categories that include needing more than one object (“...hierarchical structure...” Col. 52 Ln. 16 – 31). It would have been obvious to apply the teaching of Nagashima to the system of Travis. One would have been motivated to make such a modification in order to transfer data between objects (Col. 52 Ln. 16 – 19).

14. As to claim 16, Travis teaches the objects as described via the object recognition scheme to include a series of tokens whereby each of the token relates to an attribute of the object (Platform\_Type A, Platform\_Type B Col. 24 Ln. 59 – 67, DYNAMIC\_LOAD Col. 25 Ln. 47 – 62).

15. As to claims 17, Travis teaches the dynamic dispatch scheme as providing for execution of objects based on unpacked-into-messages events (Step 1598 Col. 26 Ln. 49 – 67, Col. 1 – 18).

16. As to claim 18, Although Travis does not explicitly teach a compact disc read only memory (CD-ROM)/floppy disk, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to implement the computer-readable medium to include a compact disc read only memory (CD-ROM) in order to provide a removable/portable memory.

17. As to claim 19, Although Travis does not explicitly teach a compact disc read only memory floppy disk, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to

implement the computer-readable medium to include a compact disc read only memory (CD-ROM)/floppy disk in order to provide a removable/portable memory.

**18. Claims 2,6,10 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 5,619,710 to Travis, jr. et al. in view of U.S. Pat. No. 6,557,165 B1 to Nagashima et al. as applied to claim 1,5,9 and 14 above, and further in view of U.S. Pat. No. 5,737,611 to Vicik.**

19. As to claim 2, Travis is silent with respect to the plurality of objects as stored via the dynamic object storage scheme are accessible utilizing a recyclable locking mechanism.

Vicik teaches the plurality of objects as stored via the dynamic object storage scheme as being accessible utilizing a recyclable locking mechanism.

("...re-use..." Col. 10 Ln. 49 – 57). It would have been obvious to apply the teaching of Vicik to the system of Travis. One would have been motivated to make such a modification in order to assign low granularity locks to processes (Col. 10 Ln. 53 – 57, Also see Abstract).

20. As to claim 6, Travis is silent with respect to the plurality of objects as stored via the dynamic object storage scheme are accessible utilizing a recyclable locking mechanism.

Vicik teaches the plurality of objects as stored via the dynamic object storage scheme as being accessible utilizing a recyclable locking mechanism.

("...re-use..." Col. 10 Ln. 49 – 57). It would have been obvious to apply the teaching of Vicik to the system of Travis. One would have been motivated to make such a modification in order to assign low granularity locks to processes (Col. 10 Ln. 53 – 57, Also see Abstract).

21. As to claim 10, Travis is silent with respect to the plurality of objects as stored via the dynamic object storage scheme are accessible utilizing a recyclable locking mechanism.

Vicik teaches the plurality of objects as stored via the dynamic object storage scheme as being accessible utilizing a recyclable locking mechanism.

("...re-use..." Col. 10 Ln. 49 – 57). It would have been obvious to apply the teaching of Vicik to the system of Travis. One would have been motivated to make such a modification in order to assign low granularity locks to processes (Col. 10 Ln. 53 – 57, Also see Abstract).

22. As to claim 15, Travis is silent with respect to the plurality of objects as stored via the dynamic object storage scheme are accessible utilizing a recyclable locking mechanism.

Vicik teaches the plurality of objects as stored via the dynamic object storage scheme as being accessible utilizing a recyclable locking mechanism.

("...re-use..." Col. 10 Ln. 49 – 57). It would have been obvious to apply the teaching of Vicik to the system of Travis. One would have been motivated to make such a

Art Unit: 2126

modification in order to assign low granularity locks to processes (Col. 10 Ln. 53 – 57, Also see Abstract).

### ***Response to Arguments***

23. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 – 19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.


### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles E Anya whose telephone number is (703) 305-3411. The Examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-6:00) First Friday off.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7239 for regular communications and (703) 746-7240 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Charles E Anya  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2126

  
MENG-AL T. AN  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100